

Formal Specification and Verification

Reasoning about Programs with Loops

Bernhard Beckert

Based on a lecture by Wolfgang Ahrendt and Reiner Hähnle at
Chalmers University, Göteborg

Loop Invariants

Symbolic execution of loops: unwind

$$\text{unwindLoop} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ if } (b) \{ p; \text{ while } (b) p \} \omega] \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) p \omega] \phi, \Delta}$$

Loop Invariants

Symbolic execution of loops: unwind

$$\text{unwindLoop} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ if } (b) \{ p; \text{ while } (b) p \} \omega] \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) p \omega] \phi, \Delta}$$

How to handle a loop with...

- ▶ 0 iterations?

Loop Invariants

Symbolic execution of loops: unwind

$$\text{unwindLoop} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ if } (b) \{ p; \text{ while } (b) p \} \omega] \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) p \omega] \phi, \Delta}$$

How to handle a loop with...

- ▶ 0 iterations? Unwind 1×

Loop Invariants

Symbolic execution of loops: unwind

$$\text{unwindLoop} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ if } (b) \{ p; \text{ while } (b) p \} \omega] \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) p \omega] \phi, \Delta}$$

How to handle a loop with...

- ▶ 0 iterations? Unwind 1×
- ▶ 10 iterations?

Loop Invariants

Symbolic execution of loops: unwind

$$\text{unwindLoop} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ if } (b) \{ p; \text{ while } (b) p \} \omega] \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) p \omega] \phi, \Delta}$$

How to handle a loop with...

- ▶ 0 iterations? Unwind 1×
- ▶ 10 iterations? Unwind 11×

Loop Invariants

Symbolic execution of loops: unwind

$$\text{unwindLoop} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ if } (b) \{ p; \text{ while } (b) p \} \omega] \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) p \omega] \phi, \Delta}$$

How to handle a loop with...

- ▶ 0 iterations? Unwind 1×
- ▶ 10 iterations? Unwind 11×
- ▶ 10000 iterations?

Loop Invariants

Symbolic execution of loops: unwind

$$\text{unwindLoop} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ if } (b) \{ p; \text{ while } (b) p \} \omega] \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) p \omega] \phi, \Delta}$$

How to handle a loop with...

- ▶ 0 iterations? Unwind 1×
- ▶ 10 iterations? Unwind 11×
- ▶ 10000 iterations? Unwind 10001×
(and don't make any plans for the rest of the day)

Loop Invariants

Symbolic execution of loops: unwind

$$\text{unwindLoop} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ if } (b) \{ p; \text{ while } (b) p \} \omega] \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) p \omega] \phi, \Delta}$$

How to handle a loop with. . .

- ▶ 0 iterations? Unwind 1×
- ▶ 10 iterations? Unwind 11×
- ▶ 10000 iterations? Unwind 10001×
(and don't make any plans for the rest of the day)
- ▶ an **unknown** number of iterations?

Loop Invariants

Symbolic execution of loops: unwind

$$\text{unwindLoop} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ if } (b) \{ p; \text{ while } (b) p \} \omega] \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) p \omega] \phi, \Delta}$$

How to handle a loop with...

- ▶ 0 iterations? Unwind 1×
- ▶ 10 iterations? Unwind 11×
- ▶ 10000 iterations? Unwind 10001×
(and don't make any plans for the rest of the day)
- ▶ an **unknown** number of iterations?

We need an **invariant rule** (or some other form of induction)

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Idea behind loop invariants

- ▶ A formula Inv whose validity is **preserved** by loop guard and body
- ▶ **Consequence**: if Inv was valid at start of the loop, then it still holds after arbitrarily many loop iterations
- ▶ If the loop terminates at all, then Inv holds **afterwards**
- ▶ Encode the desired **postcondition** after loop into Inv

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Idea behind loop invariants

- ▶ A formula Inv whose validity is **preserved** by loop guard and body
- ▶ **Consequence**: if Inv was valid at start of the loop, then it still holds after arbitrarily many loop iterations
- ▶ If the loop terminates at all, then Inv holds **afterwards**
- ▶ Encode the desired **postcondition** after loop into Inv

Basic Invariant Rule

loopInvariant

$$\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \text{ p } \omega] \phi, \Delta$$

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Idea behind loop invariants

- ▶ A formula Inv whose validity is **preserved** by loop guard and body
- ▶ **Consequence**: if Inv was valid at start of the loop, then it still holds after arbitrarily many loop iterations
- ▶ If the loop terminates at all, then Inv holds **afterwards**
- ▶ Encode the desired **postcondition** after loop into Inv

Basic Invariant Rule

$$\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta \quad \text{(initially valid)}$$

loopInvariant

$$\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \text{ p } \omega] \phi, \Delta$$

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Idea behind loop invariants

- ▶ A formula Inv whose validity is **preserved** by loop guard and body
- ▶ **Consequence**: if Inv was valid at start of the loop, then it still holds after arbitrarily many loop iterations
- ▶ If the loop terminates at all, then Inv holds **afterwards**
- ▶ Encode the desired **postcondition** after loop into Inv

Basic Invariant Rule

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta & \text{(initially valid)} \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{TRUE} \Rightarrow [p]Inv & \text{(preserved)} \end{array}$$

loopInvariant

$$\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \text{ p } \omega] \phi, \Delta$$

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Idea behind loop invariants

- ▶ A formula Inv whose validity is **preserved** by loop guard and body
- ▶ **Consequence**: if Inv was valid at start of the loop, then it still holds after arbitrarily many loop iterations
- ▶ If the loop terminates at all, then Inv holds **afterwards**
- ▶ Encode the desired **postcondition** after loop into Inv

Basic Invariant Rule

$$\text{loopInvariant} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta \quad \text{(initially valid)} \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{TRUE} \Rightarrow [p]Inv \quad \text{(preserved)} \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{FALSE} \Rightarrow [\pi \ \omega]\phi \quad \text{(use case)} \end{array}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \ p \ \omega]\phi, \Delta}$$

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Basic Invariant Rule: Problem

$$\text{loopInvariant} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta \quad \text{(initially valid)} \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{TRUE} \Rightarrow [p]Inv \quad \text{(preserved)} \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{FALSE} \Rightarrow [\pi \ \omega]\phi \quad \text{(use case)} \end{array}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \ p \ \omega]\phi, \Delta}$$

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Basic Invariant Rule: Problem

$$\text{loopInvariant} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta \quad \text{(initially valid)} \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{TRUE} \Rightarrow [p]Inv \quad \text{(preserved)} \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{FALSE} \Rightarrow [\pi \ \omega]\phi \quad \text{(use case)} \end{array}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \ p \ \omega]\phi, \Delta}$$

- ▶ Context $\Gamma, \Delta, \mathcal{U}$ must be omitted in 2nd and 3rd premise:

Γ, Δ in general don't hold in state defined by \mathcal{U}

2nd premise Inv must be invariant for any state, not only \mathcal{U}

3rd premise We don't know the state after the loop exits

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Basic Invariant Rule: Problem

$$\text{loopInvariant} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta \quad (\text{initially valid}) \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{TRUE} \Rightarrow [p]Inv \quad (\text{preserved}) \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{FALSE} \Rightarrow [\pi \ \omega]\phi \quad (\text{use case}) \end{array}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \ p \ \omega]\phi, \Delta}$$

- ▶ Context $\Gamma, \Delta, \mathcal{U}$ must be omitted in 2nd and 3rd premise:
 - Γ, Δ in general don't hold in state defined by \mathcal{U}
 - 2nd premise Inv must be invariant for any state, not only \mathcal{U}
 - 3rd premise We don't know the state after the loop exits
- ▶ **But:** context contains (part of) precondition and class invariants

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Basic Invariant Rule: Problem

$$\text{loopInvariant} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta \quad (\text{initially valid}) \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{TRUE} \Rightarrow [p]Inv \quad (\text{preserved}) \\ Inv, b \doteq \text{FALSE} \Rightarrow [\pi \ \omega]\phi \quad (\text{use case}) \end{array}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \ p \ \omega]\phi, \Delta}$$

- ▶ Context $\Gamma, \Delta, \mathcal{U}$ must be omitted in 2nd and 3rd premise:
 - Γ, Δ in general don't hold in state defined by \mathcal{U}
 - 2nd premise Inv must be invariant for any state, not only \mathcal{U}
 - 3rd premise We don't know the state after the loop exits
- ▶ **But:** context contains (part of) precondition and class invariants
- ▶ Required context information must be added to loop invariant Inv

Example

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Example

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Example

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Postcondition: $\forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < \text{a.length} \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Example

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Postcondition: $\forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < a.length \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Loop invariant: $0 \leq i \ \& \ i \leq a.length$

Example

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Postcondition: $\forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < a.length \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Loop invariant: $0 \leq i \ \& \ i \leq a.length$
 $\ \& \ \forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < i \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Example

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Postcondition: $\forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < a.length \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Loop invariant: $0 \leq i \ \& \ i \leq a.length$
 $\ \& \ \forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < i \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$
 $\ \& \ !a \doteq \text{null}$

Example

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null} \ \& \ \text{ClassInv}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Postcondition: $\forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < a.length \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Loop invariant: $0 \leq i \ \& \ i \leq a.length$
 $\ \& \ \forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < i \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$
 $\ \& \ !a \doteq \text{null}$
 $\ \& \ \text{ClassInv}'$

Keeping the Context

- ▶ Want to keep part of the context that is **unmodified** by loop

Keeping the Context

- ▶ Want to keep part of the context that is **unmodified** by loop
- ▶ **assignable clauses** for loops can tell what might be modified

```
@ assignable i, a[*];
```

Keeping the Context

- ▶ Want to keep part of the context that is **unmodified** by loop
- ▶ **assignable clauses** for loops can tell what might be modified

```
@ assignable i, a[*];
```

- ▶ How to erase all values of **assignable** locations in formula Γ ?

Keeping the Context

- ▶ Want to keep part of the context that is **unmodified** by loop
- ▶ **assignable clauses** for loops can tell what might be modified

```
@ assignable i, a[*];
```

- ▶ How to erase all values of **assignable** locations in formula Γ ?

Analogous situation: \forall -Right quantifier rule $\Rightarrow \forall x; \phi$

Replace x with a **fresh constant** $*$

To change value of program location use **update**, not substitution

Keeping the Context

- ▶ Want to keep part of the context that is **unmodified** by loop
- ▶ **assignable clauses** for loops can tell what might be modified

```
@ assignable i, a[*];
```

- ▶ How to erase all values of **assignable** locations in formula Γ ?

Analogous situation: \forall -Right quantifier rule $\Rightarrow \forall x; \phi$

Replace x with a **fresh constant** $*$

To change value of program location use **update**, not substitution

- ▶ **Anonymising updates** \mathcal{V} erase information about modified locations

```
 $\mathcal{V} = \{i := * \mid \backslash \text{for } x; a[x] := *\}$ 
```

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Improved Invariant Rule

$$\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \text{ p } \omega] \phi, \Delta$$

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Improved Invariant Rule

$\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta$ (initially valid)

$\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \text{ p } \omega]\phi, \Delta$

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Improved Invariant Rule

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta & \text{(initially valid)} \\ \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{UV}(Inv \ \& \ b \doteq \text{TRUE} \rightarrow [p]Inv), \Delta & \text{(preserved)} \end{array}$$

$$\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \text{ while } (b) \ p \ \omega] \phi, \Delta$$

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Improved Invariant Rule

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta \quad \text{(initially valid)} \\ \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{UV}(Inv \ \& \ b \doteq \text{TRUE} \rightarrow [p]Inv), \Delta \quad \text{(preserved)} \\ \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{UV}(Inv \ \& \ b \doteq \text{FALSE} \rightarrow [\pi \ \omega]\phi), \Delta \quad \text{(use case)} \end{array}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \ \text{while} \ (b) \ p \ \omega]\phi, \Delta}$$

Loop Invariants Cont'd

Improved Invariant Rule

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}Inv, \Delta \quad \text{(initially valid)} \\ \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{UV}(Inv \ \& \ b \doteq \text{TRUE} \rightarrow [p]Inv), \Delta \quad \text{(preserved)} \\ \Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{UV}(Inv \ \& \ b \doteq \text{FALSE} \rightarrow [\pi \ \omega]\phi), \Delta \quad \text{(use case)} \end{array}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\pi \ \text{while} \ (b) \ p \ \omega]\phi, \Delta}$$

- ▶ Context is kept as far as possible
- ▶ Invariant does not need to include unmodified locations
- ▶ For **assignable** \ **everything** (the default):
 - ▶ $\mathcal{V} = \{ * := * \}$ wipes out **all** information
 - ▶ Equivalent to basic invariant rule
 - ▶ **Avoid this!** Always give a specific assignable clause

Example with Improved Invariant Rule

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Example with Improved Invariant Rule

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Example with Improved Invariant Rule

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Postcondition: $\forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < a.\text{length} \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Example with Improved Invariant Rule

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Postcondition: $\forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < a.length \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Loop invariant: $0 \leq i \ \& \ i \leq a.length$

Example with Improved Invariant Rule

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Postcondition: $\forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < a.length \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Loop invariant: $0 \leq i \ \& \ i \leq a.length$
 $\ \& \ \forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < i \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Example with Improved Invariant Rule

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Postcondition: $\forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < a.length \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Loop invariant: $0 \leq i \ \& \ i \leq a.length$
 $\ \& \ \forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < i \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Example with Improved Invariant Rule

Precondition: $!a \doteq \text{null} \ \& \ \text{ClassInv}$

```
int i = 0;
while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
}
```

Postcondition: $\forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < a.\text{length} \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Loop invariant: $0 \leq i \ \& \ i \leq a.\text{length}$
 $\ \& \ \forall \text{int } x; (0 \leq x < i \rightarrow a[x] \doteq 1)$

Example in JML/Java— Demo

```
public int[] a;
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ ensures (\forall int x; 0<=x && x<a.length; a[x]==1);
   @ diverges true;
  @*/
public void m() {
  int i = 0;
  /*@ loop_invariant
     @ (0 <= i && i <= a.length &&
     @ (\forall int x; 0<=x && x<i; a[x]==1));
     @ assignable i, a[*];
    @*/
  while(i < a.length) {
    a[i] = 1;
    i++;
  }
}
```

Proving assignable

- ▶ The invariant rule **assumes** that assignable is correct
E.g., with `assignable \nothing;` one can prove nonsense
- ▶ Invariant rule of KeY generates **proof obligation** that ensures correctness of assignable

Hints

Proving assignable

- ▶ The invariant rule **assumes** that assignable is correct
E.g., with `assignable \nothing`; one can prove nonsense
- ▶ Invariant rule of KeY generates **proof obligation** that ensures correctness of assignable

Setting in the KeY Prover when proving loops

- ▶ Loop treatment: **Invariant**
- ▶ Quantifier treatment: **No Splits with Progs**
- ▶ If program contains `*`, `/:`
Arithmetic treatment: **DefOps**
- ▶ Is search limit high enough (time out, rule apps.)?
- ▶ When proving partial correctness, add `diverges true`;

Total Correctness

Find a decreasing integer term v (called **variant**)

Add the following premisses to the invariant rule:

- ▶ $v \geq 0$ is initially valid
- ▶ $v \geq 0$ is preserved by the loop body
- ▶ v is strictly decreased by the loop body

Total Correctness

Find a decreasing integer term v (called **variant**)

Add the following premisses to the invariant rule:

- ▶ $v \geq 0$ is initially valid
- ▶ $v \geq 0$ is preserved by the loop body
- ▶ v is strictly decreased by the loop body

Proving termination in JML/Java

- ▶ Remove directive `diverges true;`
- ▶ Add directive `decreasing v;` to loop invariant
- ▶ KeY creates suitable invariant rule and PO (with $\langle \dots \rangle \phi$)

Total Correctness

Find a decreasing integer term v (called **variant**)

Add the following premisses to the invariant rule:

- ▶ $v \geq 0$ is initially valid
- ▶ $v \geq 0$ is preserved by the loop body
- ▶ v is strictly decreased by the loop body

Proving termination in JML/Java

- ▶ Remove directive `diverges true;`
- ▶ Add directive `decreasing v;` to loop invariant
- ▶ KeY creates suitable invariant rule and PO (with $\langle \dots \rangle \phi$)

Example (Same loop as above)

```
@ decreasing
```

Total Correctness

Find a decreasing integer term v (called **variant**)

Add the following premisses to the invariant rule:

- ▶ $v \geq 0$ is initially valid
- ▶ $v \geq 0$ is preserved by the loop body
- ▶ v is strictly decreased by the loop body

Proving termination in JML/Java

- ▶ Remove directive `diverges true;`
- ▶ Add directive `decreasing v;` to loop invariant
- ▶ KeY creates suitable invariant rule and PO (with $\langle \dots \rangle \phi$)

Example (Same loop as above)

```
@ decreasing a.length - i;
```

Literature for this Lecture

Essential

KeY Book Verification of Object-Oriented Software (see course web page), Chapter 3: **Dynamic Logic** (Section 3.7)