

Synthesis of JML-Contracts via Large Language Models

Topic for "Praxis der Forschung"

Samuel Teuber

Large Language Models

- Significant progress in the past years
- Interesting capabilities with **in-context learning**
- Large Language Models already help with writing code (e.g. GitHub Copilot [1])

JML-Contracts

- Can be used for verification of Java Software
- Requires annotation of source-code
- Not only top-level specification, but also:
 - JML-Contracts for submethods
 - JML-Invariants for loops

Annotation is a tedious process.

Code Input:

```
/*@ normal_behavior
@ requires [...];
@ ensures [...];
@ assignable \nothing;
@*/
public static char[] makePalindrome(char[] str) {
    if (isPalindrome(str)) {
        return str;
    }
    char[] result = new char[str.length * 2];
    copyInto(str, result, 0);
    copyInto(reverse(str), result, str.length);
    return result;
}
```

Question

What is the contract for `copyInto`?
Assume the following signature:

```
void copyInto(char[] src, char[] dest, int pos)
```

Idea: Use LLMs to synthesize JML

- There already exist various methods for synthesis of specifications (see e.g. survey by Lathouwers et al. [2]).
- Preliminary experiments: Naively generating JML-Contracts does not work well.
Generated invariants/sub-contracts are usually not helpful
- A working approach requires (at least):
 - Prompt-Engineering
 - Approaches to **recover** from insufficient contract proposals (maybe the work by Laurent et al. [3] could help)

How can we harness LLMs for the synthesis of useful JML-Contracts?

[1] Mark Chen et al. *Evaluating Large Language Models Trained on Code*. Tech. rep. arXiv: 2107.03374. 2021. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.03374>.

[2] Sophie Lathouwers et al. "Survey of annotation generators for deductive verifiers". In: *Journal of Systems and Software* (2024), p. 111972.

[3] Jonathan Laurent et al. "Learning to find proofs and theorems by learning to refine search strategies: The case of loop invariant synthesis". In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 35 (2022), pp. 4843–4856.